MC meeting  
15 February 2017

* **Hypothesis 6**
  + Doesn’t make sense to sample new streams with no link to the rest of the LTER
  + Should remain integrative with the rest of the projects
  + CTE-like trim will be done over StreamFRE reach in the future
* **Schedule for site review**
  + Lou requesting more information about schedule – concerns that the focus is only on research and lacking other areas (Information management, site management structure, etc)
  + Are discussions in the field the best venue to address their concerns?
  + Reviewers may feel better about schedule after seeing the progress report for more context
  + Tell the reviewers that we feel the schedule will give them the best and fullest appreciation of the site, but they can make changes if they want
* **Progress report**
  + Will be completed by end of the month
  + Should answer the questions about how long term data in the LTER core areas has informed our research
  + Should include graphics in report
    - Every figure should have a clear takehome message
  + List questions and hypotheses in one section, then list progress for each hypothesis separately
* **Other**
  + Need to update names of researchers associated with each hypothesis and make sure everyone knows where they are listed